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Abstract:
Transit infrastructure development is a major necessity in Canada’s largest city and the capital of Ontario, Toronto. As one of the busiest highways in North America and the backbone of Toronto’s transportation and distribution system, provincial Highway 401, carries over 416 thousand annual average daily traffic (AADT) and plays an important role in the Ontario southern road network (You et al., 2017). With economic development and ongoing urbanization, the increasing regional population brings up traffic congestion in the system, especially during peak hours. The existing transportation condition has proved a bottleneck under the ongoing globalization & increasing population in the city. To mitigate the congestion, this paper proposed a new bypass route plan on Highway 401 by combining the least cost path analysis (LCPA) and multiple-criteria evaluation (MCE).

We used LCPA (Figure 1) as the major solution in the study since it can successfully facilitate informed decision-making in transportation infrastructure planning and design. Moreover, it enables a thorough consideration of various forms of costs in highway construction through multiple criteria cost surfaces to generate the cheapest cost route (Sari & Sen, 2017). The analysis allows for a user-defined solution by allowing a wide range of measures for “cost” through translating and communicating ordinal scales of measure via numeric values. The least cost path analysis has been successfully applied to a wide range of problems in existing research with the most popular application being infrastructure development and design (Bagli et al., 2011).

The primary objective of this research is to apply the least cost path analysis to develop a new bypass route that will divert traffic from regularly congested segments and gridlocks identified on highway 401 in the city of Toronto thereby alleviating traffic congestion and its associated environment, economic and health impacts. Specifically, the key contributions included:

Figure 1. The Least Cost Path Analysis Workflow
1. Identifying a key segment on highway 401 (shown in Figure 2) where the bypass route needs to be introduced along the 401 based on existing traffic congestion trends.

2. Defining a set of criteria to be applied to define costs towards generating the least cost path.

3. Generating and mapping a cost-effective bypass route on highway 401.

4. Quantification of the results of the least cost path analysis and performance assessment through network analysis.

Three major criteria of cost surfaces were defined during the initial stage, and a scale of 1 to 10 was used for the measure of cost. Highest weight was attributed to environmental costs in this analysis to attain the most environmentally friendly outcome possible. Allocation of costs and weights was based on research to make educated comparisons between sub criteria for cost surfaces and between criteria for total cost surfaces. An additional criterion of the right of way of the current 401 route was included to avoid the new bypass route from being generated directly on top of the 401 or from overlapping over it. However, this region of path was not part of the cost criteria for the bypass route but only aimed at facilitating the analysis. Following the creation of the total cost surface from all the defined criteria, a cost distance and a backlink raster were created. The cost distance raster surface represents costs of distances with reference to the source location.

Figure 2. High Congestion Segments on Highway 401

Figure 3. Environmental Impacts Cost Surface
Environmental impacts, land cover types, and slopes were considered during the generation of the LCPA surface while user-defined classes were integrated into the MCE (shown in figure 3, 4, & 5). In addition, the LCPA+MCE results were quantified and visualized with a series of performance assessments (PA) including buffer analysis (BA) as well as network analysis (NA). The PAs outputs were presented and discussed in the paper to verify whether the use of LCPA+MCE in the decision-making & planning stage would make the model perform better by adapting various real-life MCE facts in this case study.
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